### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DVL, INC. AND DVL KEARNY : HOLDINGS, LLC :

District Constanting

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim

Defendants

v. : Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-04261 (KM) JBC)

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION and BATH

IRON WORKS CORPORATION,

Defendants/Counterclaim : **PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO** 

Plaintiffs : **COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT** 

BATH IRON WORKS CORPORATION

:

Plaintiffs, DVL, Inc. ("DVLI") and DVL Kearny Holdings, LLC ("DVLK") (together "Plaintiffs" or "DVL"), by and through their attorneys, Zarwin Baum DeVito Kaplan Schaer & Toddy P.C., hereby respond to the Counterclaim of defendant, Bath Iron Works Corporation ("BIW"), and state as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Denied.
- 3. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny same.
- 4. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny same.
  - 6. Admitted.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 7. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 8. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. Admitted.
- 10. Admitted.
- 11. Plaintiffs admit that they filed an amended complaint against BIW, but deny any characterizations as to why.
  - 12. Admitted.
  - 13. Denied.
  - 14. Denied.
  - 15. Denied.
  - 16. Denied.
  - 17. Denied.
  - 18. Denied.

- 19. Denied.
- 20. Denied.
- 21. Denied.
- 22. Denied.
- 23. Denied.
- 24. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 25. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations in the first four sentences of this Paragraph, and therefore deny same. The remaining allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.

#### **CLAIM 1: CONTRIBUTION UNDER CERCLA**

- 26. Plaintiffs repeat the responses of the preceding Paragraphs as if fully restated at length herein.
- 27. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 28. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 29. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
  - 30. Denied.

- 31. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 32. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 33. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 34. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand:

- a) Dismissal of BIW's Counterclaim with prejudice;
- Reimbursement of all litigation costs and fees, including reasonable attorneys'
   fees; and
- c) Such other relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

## CLAIM 2: DECLARATION JUDGMENT UNDER CERCLA

- 35. Plaintiffs repeat the responses of the preceding Paragraphs as if fully restated at length herein.
- 36. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 37. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand:

a) Dismissal of BIW's Counterclaim with prejudice;

- Reimbursement of all litigation costs and fees, including reasonable attorneys'
   fees; and
- c) Such other relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

# CLAIM 3: CONTRIBUTION UNDER THE NEW JERSEY SPILL COMPENSATION & CONTROL ACT

- 38. Plaintiffs repeat the responses of the preceding Paragraphs as if fully restated at length herein.
- 39. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 40. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
  - 41. Denied.
- 42. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same. To the extent the allegations are factual in nature, they are denied.
- 43. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny same.
- 44. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 45. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 46. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.

#### **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs demand:

- a) Dismissal of BIW's Counterclaim with prejudice;
- Reimbursement of all litigation costs and fees, including reasonable attorneys'
   fees; and
- c) Such other relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

### CLAIM 4: CONTRIBUTION UNDER THE NEW JERSEY JOINT TORTFEASORS CONTRIBUTION LAW

- 47. Plaintiffs repeat the responses of the preceding Paragraphs as if fully restated at length herein.
- 48. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 49. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 50. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same. To the extent the allegations are factual in nature, they are denied.
- 51. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny same.
- 52. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.

#### **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs demand:

- a) Dismissal of BIW's Counterclaim with prejudice;
- Reimbursement of all litigation costs and fees, including reasonable attorneys'
   fees; and

c) Such other relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

### CLAIM 5: CONTRIBUTION UNDER THE NEW JERSEY COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE ACT

- 53. Plaintiffs repeat the responses of the preceding Paragraphs as if fully restated at length herein.
- 54. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 55. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 56. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same. To the extent the allegations are factual in nature, they are denied.
- 57. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny same.
- 58. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same. To the extent the allegations are factual in nature, they are denied.

#### **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs demand:

- a) Dismissal of BIW's Counterclaim with prejudice;
- b) Reimbursement of all litigation costs and fees, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- c) Such other relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

### CLAIM 6: CONTRIBUTION UNDER UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 59. Plaintiffs repeat the responses of the preceding Paragraphs as if fully restated at length herein.
- 60. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 61. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same. To the extent the allegations are factual in nature, they are denied.
- 62. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of this Paragraph, and therefore deny same.
- 63. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 64. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same.
- 65. The allegations contained in this Paragraph constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required, and Plaintiffs therefore neither admit nor deny same. To the extent the allegations are factual in nature, they are denied.

#### **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs demand:

- d) Dismissal of BIW's Counterclaim with prejudice;
- e) Reimbursement of all litigation costs and fees, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- f) Such other relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

BIW's Counterclaim fails to state claims upon which relief may be granted and therefore should be dismissed.

#### **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Compliance with Law)

Plaintiffs complied with all applicable rules and regulations.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Frivolous Litigation)

BIW's Counterclaim is frivolous and is filed merely to harass Plaintiffs and seek financial gain from a "deep pockets" party.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Improperly Named Defendant)

Plaintiffs are not proper defendants in any action alleging violation of the laws referenced in the Counterclaim and, therefore, the Counterclaim in this action must be dismissed.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

BIW's claims for relief are barred by the Doctrine of Unclean Hands.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate Damages)

BIW's claims are barred by reason of its failure to reasonably mitigate its damages.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Assumption of the Risk)

BIW assumed the risks inherent in the activities engaged in by itself and Congoleum and, therefore, the Counterclaim in this action must be dismissed.

#### **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

#### (Comparative Fault)

All rights which BIW herein may have had with respect to the subject matter of this litigation were waived by its own acts and wrongful conduct.

#### **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Malicious Prosecution)

BIW has intentionally, willfully and maliciously instituted the within action for purposes of coercing and compelling some settlement to which BIW is not entitled to and, therefore, the Counterclaim in this action should be dismissed.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

BIW's claims are barred by applicable statutes of limitations, statutes of repose, or other applicable limitations.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Laches)

BIW's claims are barred by the doctrine of laches.

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Bad Faith)

BIW's claims have been instituted in bad faith and solely for the purpose of seeking an unfair and unsubstantiated settlement.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Estoppel)

BIW should be estopped from bringing its counterclaim due to its own prior wrongful, negligent and inappropriate acts and conduct with regard to the subject matter and, therefore, the Counterclaim in this action should be dismissed.

#### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Other Defenses)

Plaintiffs reserve the right to interpose such other separate defenses as continuing investigation and discovery may indicate.

Respectfully submitted,

### ZARWIN BAUM DeVITO KAPLAN SCHAER & TODDY P.C.

By: /s/ Eitan D. Blanc

Anthony R. Twardowski, Esquire Eitan D. Blanc, Esquire Zarwin Baum DeVito Kaplan Schaer Toddy, P.C. 1818 Market Street, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: (215) 569-2800 Fax: (215) 569-1606

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, DVL, Inc. and DVL Kearny Holdings, LLC

Dated: December 27, 2019

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

DVL, INC. AND DVL KEARNY

HOLDINGS, LLC : Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-04261 (KM) JBC)

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants

CONGOLEUM CORPORATION and BATH

IRON WORKS CORPORATION, : CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Defendants/Counterclaim

Plaintiffs

I, Eitan D. Blanc, Esquire, do hereby certify that on the date set forth below, I did cause to be served upon counsel listed below, a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Response to Counterclaim of Defendant Bath Iron Works Corporation by ECF, as follows:

Camille V. Otero, Esquire Kevin W. Weber, Esquire Jordan Asch, Esquire Gibbons P.C. One Gateway Center Newark, NJ 07102 Attorneys for Congoleum Corporation

Dated: December 27, 2019

Ralph J. Marra
Thomas R. Calcagni
Eric T. Kanefsky
CALCAGNI & KANEFSKY, LLC
1085 Raymond Boulevard, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

and Wade Thompson, Esquire Sarah Futernick, Esquire Catherine Steege, Esquire John VanDeventer, Esquire Michael Doornweerd, Esquire Jenner & Block, LLP 353 N. Clark Street

Attorneys for Bath Iron Works Corporation

ZARWIN, BAUM, DeVITO, KAPLAN, SCHAER & TODDY, P.C.

BY: /s/ Eitan D. Blanc

Chicago, IL 60654

EITAN D. BLANC, ESQUIRE Attorney I.D. No. 023462007 1818 Market Street, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-569-2800 – Phone 215-569-1606 – Fax Attorney for Plaintiffs

DVL, Inc. and DVL Kearny Holdings, LLC